

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,065 09/29/2003		9/29/2003	Grzegorz Stachowiak	3691-588	4708
23117	7590	04/22/2004 EXAMINER			INER
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC				BLACKWELL RUDASIL, GWENDOLYN A	
	1100 N GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTO	N, VA 2	2201-4714	1775		

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ጉ		T	I A 16 44 5
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/672,065	STACHOWIAK ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Gwendolyn A. Blackwell-Rudasill	1775
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
Period for		VIOLOGIA TO EVOIDE A MONTH	O) EDOM
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a)□ ¹	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		
*	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Dispositio	on of Claims		
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the application		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>39-48</u> is/are withdraw		
5)🖂	Claim(s) 33-38 is/are allowed.		
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-15,18-23 and 25-31</u> is/are rejec	eted.	
	Claim(s) <u>7,16,17,24,32 and 49</u> is/are objected		
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application	on Papers		
9) 🔲 7	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)🖂 🏾	The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 September 2003</u> is/	are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objec	ted to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc		
11)[] 7	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority document		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage
	application from the International Burea	•	d
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	2 0.
Attachment	• •	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	, (PTO-413)
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate
3) X Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/29/03;1/6/04</u> .	5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-38 and 49, drawn to a coated article, classified in class 428, subclass
 432.
 - II. Claims 39-48, drawn to a method of making, classified in class 204, subclass 192.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of Group II and Group I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a materially different process. Instead of sputtering the layers to form the coated article, the coated article can be formed by chemical vapor deposition or through lamination.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Joseph Rhoa on March 25, 2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-38 and 49. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 39-48 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Art Unit: 1775

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Drawings

6. The drawings are objected to because Figure 2 is described as an XPS graph before HT, (specification, page 6, section 0020). Figure 2 is labeled as "annealed". There is a discrepancy between the description of the figure and the labeling of the figure. Clarification is required. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 1775

provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 1-6, 8-15, 18-23, and 25-31 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6, 8-14, 16, 19-27, 29-30, 32-35, 37-38, and 40-43 of copending Application No. 10/423,058. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the copending application broadly claims a layer comprising niobium zirconium which would include variations such as an oxide of niobium zirconium or a nitride of niobium zirconium.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 10. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 8, 12-14, and 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by United States Patent Application Publication no. 2002/0086164, Anzaki et al.

 Regarding claims 1-2, 4-5, 8, 12-14, and 30-31

Anzaki et al disclose a light transmitting filter comprising a laminate of 2n+1 layers having at least two dielectric layers and at least one silver layer, (page 2, sections 0020-0021).

Art Unit: 1775

Zirconium oxide doped with niobium, (page 3, section 0032) or niobium oxide doped with zirconium, (page 4, section 0050), can be used for the dielectric layers. In one embodiment, see figure 1(b), a barrier layer selected from silicon nitride, silicon oxynitride, or tin oxide, (page 3, section 0036), is in contact with the dielectric layers, (page 5, section 0078), wherein the structure has a luminous transmission of 60% or more and a sheet resistance of about 2 Ω/\Box , (page 5, section 0078).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 33-38 are allowed over the prior art of record.
- 12. Claims 7, 16-17, 24, 32, and 49 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gwendolyn A. Blackwell-Rudasill whose telephone number is (571) 272-1533. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday; 6:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1775

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gwendolyn A. Blackwell-Rudasill

Examiner Art Unit 1775

gbr